INS

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THE 06TH DAY OF JUNE 2017

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.S. BOPANNA

WRIT- PETITION NOS 37279-37282 / 2016 (GM-RES)

DR KALYANAKUMARSWAMI KARADI S/O ISHWARAYYA KARADI R/A SHREE VAGEESH CLINIC, B H ROAD, KADADKATTE BHADRAVATHI SHIVAMOGGA-577301 & others

> By Sri ADITYA SINGH VS

Respondents

1 THE STATE OF KARNATAKA REP BY, ITS SECRETARY, HELATH AND FAMILY WELFARE DEPARTMENT, INDIAN SYSTEM OF MEDICINE, VIKASA SOUDHA, BENGALURU-01

2 THE KARNATAYA AYURVEDIC AND UNANI PARCTITIONER BOARD, DIRECTORATE OF AYUSH BUILDING, DHANAVANTHRI ROAD, BENGALURU-09 REP BY ITS REGISTRAR

(G.A. for R1; Sri O Shivarama Bhat, Adv. for R2)

Whereas, a Writ Petition filed by the above named petitioner under Article 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India, has been registered by this court.

- Read: 1) The Interim Order granted on 26/07/2016.
 - 2) I.A.No.2/2016 is filed by the Advocate for the respondent No.2 praying to vacate the interim order dated 26/07/2016

After hearing, the Court made the following:-

ORDER

XEROX COPY OF THE ENTIRE ORDER DATED 06/06/2017 IS ENCLOSED HEREWITH SEPARATELY.

Mar. Sublule 12/6/17

BENGAL

Assistant Registrar

15 SP 3/2

bk-8617.4

TRUE COPY

Court of Karnataka Bengaluru - 560 001

And Copying charges of F......1s Received

ASBJ: 06.06.2017


ORDER ON I.A. NO.2/16

I.A. No.2/16 is filed by respondent No.2 seeking that the interim order granted by this Court be vacated. This court at the first instance, while granting the interim order dated 26.07.2016, has restrained the collection of enhanced fee for Online Bio-metric Refreshing Registration, in any event, Bio-metric Registration is required to continue as it is not restrained. If that aspect of the matter is taken note of, the contentions putforth seeking that the interim order be vacated to achieve the object of re-registration to weed out the Doctors who were not eligible will in any event not be defeated. Hence, the interim order does not prevent the said process and the only question that arises for consideration is with regard to the manner in which the fees has been enhanced and



the quantum of fee as fixed. In that view of the matter, detailed consideration of the rules governing the fee will have to be considered. As such the interim order does not come in the way of Bio-metric re-registration being done, if the old fee is collected at this stage. Hence, I.A. No.2/16 is disposed of with the above clarification.

List in the usual course in 'B' group.







b) The date of which charges an ddl.
ch: es. if ny are called for
rges if any, are deported.
The date on which the charges and are
rges if any, are deported.
The date of notifying that the convision

o. he date of notifying that the convision

he on intervent the convision of the convision

or ivery

he on intervent the convision of the convision

or ivery

Section Officer

Section Officer

Man Court of Kamataka

Bengaluru - 560 001